

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 11/02/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8330		
09/980,701			Taijiro Matsui	11728/3			
26646	7590	06/24/2003					
KENYON	& KENY	ON	EXAMINER				
ONE BROA	•	004		RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1771	<u> </u>		
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·						mK-H				
•			Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Audient Commence		09/980,701		MATSUI ET AL.					
	Offic Action Summary	·	Examiner		Art Unit					
			Ula C Ruddoo		1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s	) filed on <u>02 N</u>	lovember 200	<u>1</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is noi	n-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims		, ,							
4)	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the	application.				•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to				•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers										
· · ·	•	the Evaminer								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None o	f:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
	cknowledgment is made of a clain					application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment			- January Wilde	. 22 0.0.0. 33 120	weren vi la li					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTo	(s) O-152)				
0.0 / / / / /		<del></del>								

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-11 of copending Application No. 10/031,822. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are obvious variants over one another.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 5-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the word "characterized" is objected to. In order to conform to standard US practice, Applicant is advised to amend the claims to read on "wherein." Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,701 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorathia et al. (US 5,236,773). Sorathia et al. disclose fire-resistant barriers for composite materials. The fiber-reinforced plastic composite materials are fire-protected by the application of a combination of coatings, including a ceramic coating (abstract). Fibers include carbon fibers (col 2, ln 15-17). The ceramic coating includes plasma-sprayed zirconia (col 2, ln 63). With regard to claims 6-8, it should be noted that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Therefore, the limitations of a "furnace," a "smoke discharging device," and a "tunnel" have been given no patentable weight.

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 703-305-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/980,701

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

UCR VUIC June 14, 2003 ula Ruddock